



DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

- Meeting Minutes -
Monday, May 1, 2017, 5:00 pm
2nd Floor Large Conference Room
226 W 4th Street
Davenport, Iowa



I. Call to Order

Roll Call: Present: Wilkinson, Slobojan, Maness, Flaherty, Young, Lundgren, McCarley (5:10 PM), Davidson and Nix.

Staff: Rusnak

Approval of the March 27, 2017 Meeting Minutes.

Motion by Maness, second by Flaherty to approve the February 27, 2017 Meeting Minutes. Motion to approve was unanimous by voice vote (8-0).

II. Old Business

None.

III. New Business

1. Case No. DR17-17: Certificate of Design Approval – “RIDO” Residential Infill Design Overlay District – 643 East 6th St, 634 East 6th Street and 646 East 6th Street. Three new single-family dwellings. City of Davenport, petitioner.

Rusnak summarized the request. He stated that staff recommends approval of DR17-17 in accordance with the submitted renderings.

Motion by Maness, second by Flaherty to approve DR17-17 in accordance with the submitted renderings. Motion to approve was unanimous by voice vote (8-0).

At this time, McCarley jointed the meeting.

2. Case No. DR17-18: Certificate of Design Approval – “DDOD” Downtown Design Overlay District – 218 Iowa Street. Exterior building renovation. Andrew Dasso, petitioner.

Rusnak summarized the request. He stated that the petitioner does not have a tenant so some design flexibility is being sought. The preference is for there to be garage doors. However, a future use may necessitate a more traditional storefront. Also, the ability to install a drive through window on the south elevation is being sought. He stated that staff recommends approval of DR17-18 in accordance with the work write up and renderings.

Motion by Young, second by Lundgren to approve DR17-18 in accordance with the work write up and renderings. Motion to approve was unanimous by voice vote (9-0).

3. Case No. DR17-19: Certificate of Design Approval and Encroachment Permit – “DDOD” Downtown Design Overlay District – 136 East 3rd Street. Outdoor seating area. Sidney Rognoni, petitioner.

Rusnak summarized the request. He stated that staff recommends approval of DR17-19 in accordance with the submitted plans.

Motion by Flaherty, second by Slobojan to approve DR17-19 in accordance with the submitted renderings. Motion to approve was unanimous by voice vote (9-0).

4. Case No. DR17-20: Certificate of Design Approval – “HSD” Historic Shopping District – 1108 Mound Street. New building mounted sign. Rich Sampson, petitioner.

Rusnak summarized the request. He stated that staff recommends approval of DR17-20 subject to the following conditions:

1. That the sign be scaled so that it does not overlap the window lintel; and
2. That the material of the sign be adequate and thick enough to ensure durability and permanence.

Motion by McCarley, second by Flaherty to approve DR17-20 in accordance with the submitted renderings subject to the following conditions:

1. That the sign be scaled so that it does not overlap the window lintel (exception being the bottom of the cone); and
2. That the material of the sign be adequate and thick enough to ensure durability and permanence.

Motion to approve was unanimous by voice vote (9-0).

5. Case No. DR17-21: Certificate of Design Approval – “DDOD” Downtown Design Overlay District – 421 West River Drive. Removal of a portion of wooden deck and installation of a new concrete deck. Ashton Mixer, petitioner.

Rusnak summarized the request. He stated that staff recommends approval of DR17-21 subject to the following conditions:

1. Replacement concrete deck be expanded to the west and the new concrete and relocated ADA Parking be omitted;
2. That the overhead door be at the height as the existing storefront glass.

Motion by Maness, second by Young to approve DR17-21 subject to the following conditions:

1. Replacement concrete deck be expanded to the west and the new concrete and relocated ADA Parking be omitted;
2. That the overhead door be at the height as the existing storefront glass.

Motion to approve was unanimous by voice vote (9-0).

6. Case No. DR17-22: Certificate of Design Approval – “DDOD” Downtown Design Overlay District – 208 East River Drive. Outdoor seating area (change from DR15-09). Ashton Mixer, petitioner.

Rusnak summarized the request. He stated that staff recommends approval of DR17-22 in accordance with the submitted renderings subject to the condition that the railings be black wrought iron or aluminum.

Motion by Flaherty, second by Maness to approve DR17-22 in accordance with the submitted renderings subject to the condition that the railings be black wrought iron or aluminum. Motion to approve was unanimous by voice vote (9-0).

7. Case No. DR17-23: Certificate of Design Approval – “RIDO” Residential Infill Design Overlay District – 700 block of East 6th Street (north side). Three new single-family dwellings. Andrew Dasso, petitioner.

Rusnak summarized the request. He stated that staff recommends approving the design in concept, since a formal determination on the use of material has not occurred. He stated that staff recommends approval of DR17-23 in accordance with the submitted renderings.

Motion by Flaherty, second by Maness to approve DR17-23 in accordance with the submitted renderings. Motion to approve was unanimous by voice vote (9-0).

8. Case No. DR17-24: Certificate of Design Approval – “DDOD” Downtown Design Overlay District – 735 Federal Street. New building mounted sign. Mike King, petitioner.

Rusnak stated that the petitioner was not present. He stated that the Board may wish to table the request to the next meeting.

Motion by McCarley, second by Flaherty to table DR17-24 to the next meeting. Motion to table was unanimous by voice vote (9-0).

9. Case No. DR17-25: Certificate of Design Approval – “DDOD” Downtown Design overlay District – 114 Western Avenue. Replace exterior stairwell. Dean Kuglar, petitioner.

Rusnak summarized the request. He stated that staff recommends approval of DR17-25 in accordance with the work write up and renderings.

Motion by Davidson, second by Slobojan to approve DR17-25 in accordance with the work write up and renderings. Motion to approve was unanimous by voice vote (9-0).

IV. General Discussion

There was none.

V. Public Comment

No one from the audience spoke.

VI. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 6:06 pm.